United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/632,263	08/01/2003	Dean Leighton Taylor Hallows		5500
		7590 03/06/2007 heck Esquire		EXAM	INER
Dale R. Lovercheck, Esquire DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL INC. 570 West College Avenue York, PA 17405		TERNATIONAL INC.		STOKES, CANDICE CAPRI	
		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3732	
_					
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		NTHS	03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/632,263	HALLOWS, DEAN LEIGHTON TAYLOR			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Candice C. Stokes	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDENCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tre, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 i	December 2006.				
ı)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•			
Disposition of Claims	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-16,19-21</u> is/are pending in th	e application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	···	·			
) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-16 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)		•			
) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) L Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8,10-16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenstein (USPN 4,060,897) in view of Ferranti (US 2001/0031443). Greenstein discloses a dental instrument (see Figure 1) comprising: a generally cylindrical handle (20) having a threaded opening (26) for receiving a tool tip connector (30); a first metal tip (34) having a threaded portion (28) on one end; said handle (20) being connected to said first metal (see column 7, lines 64-66) tool tip (34) by said tool tip connector (30), wherein said connector (30) includes an opening (see column 6, lines 66-68) on a first end for receiving said tool tip (34) and a threaded portion (28) on an opposing second end for engaging said threaded opening (26) of said handle (20) to fasten said connector (30) to said handle (20). Flanges (36) have openings (37) for plastic to flow through. It is well known in the art that threads may be added to the opening for an enhanced secure fit of tip (34) into the opening. Ferranti teaches an elastomeric, nonmetal cover 10 as shown in Fig. 2. This also reads on Claim 15. The cover 10 having a central region (as best seen in Figure 4 where "T" is shown) and a first and second enlarged region (17,18) on opposite sides of the central region, which are integrally connected to the central region. Also the central region has a central wall having a smallest outer diameter at point of least wall thickness and the first and second enlarged regions (17,18) having a largest

Art Unit: 3732

outer diameter at the greatest wall thickness at a thickest point on the outer surface of the cover 10. As to Claim 6, Greenstein discloses a second tip 68. To Claim 7, Ferranti teaches raised portions 17,18 on cover 10. Further to amended Claims 1-8 and 10-12, Ferranti teaches a cover 10 formed of "elastomeric material [0012]. Specifically to claims 8 and 20, Ferranti does not specifically teach a silicone polymer. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a silicone polymer, since it ahs been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. With further regard for claim 19, Greenstein discloses a second end (100) as best seen in Figure 10 for second tool tip connector (98) and second tool tip (102) with a threaded portion (105) on the second end of the connector (98) for engaging said second threaded opening (106) in handle (20). To claim 21, the handle (20) is considered to be a cylindrical metal tube having a central channel and connector (30) is considered to be a metal tie bar. To claim 10, the use of an adhesive to adhere the cover to the handle is not patentable subject matter, since it well known in the art that adhere two pieces together may be done by a variety of means including using adhesives.

Further regarding Claims 1-5,11, and 16, Currie and Ferranti disclose the claimed invention except for the ranges as stated in these claims however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention as made to incorporate the ranges as stated in the claims, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,263

Art Unit: 3732

As to Claims 12 and 13, Currie and Ferranti disclose the claimed invention except for the cover comprising a plurality of colors. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to change the color or use any color, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the color of a component. A change in color is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8,10-16, and 19-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The response and amendment filed 12/18/06 is not completely legible. All rejections were applied as best as possible. For future submissions, please provide a legible submission. In regards to arguments on page 9 of the Remarks (see mid-page), the claims are directed to a removable tip; therefore this feature has not been given any patentable weight.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/632,263 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Candice C. Stokes whose telephone number is (571) 272-4714. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Candice C. Stokes

CRIS RODRIGUEZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700